



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/188,110	11/09/1998	MATTHEW J. GILLIN	3606-4000	4763

7590 08/12/2003

MORGAN & FINNEGAN
 1775 LYE STREET SUITE 400
 WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3624

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

AUG 14 2003

MORGAN & FINNEGAN

(Subst. of Interview)

CASE 03606-4000 ATTY RS-

DUE September 12, 2003

1 mo. call-up

BY J.M.

RECEIVED
 DOCKET DEPT.
 2003 AUG 18 P 1:38
 MORGAN & FINNEGAN LLP

REVIEWED BY AUDIT DEPT.
 DATE 8/21/03 BY

05-21-2003 10:02:00 From-MORGAN & AN

+2028577828

T-008 P.002/003 F-863

09/18/810

Interview Summary

Application No. <u>09/18/810</u>	Applicant(s) <u>Gill</u>	
Examiner <u>SUBRAMANIAN</u>	Art Unit <u>3624</u>	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MR. MARIUS (Attorney) (3) _____
 (2) MR. M. M. (SPE) (4) _____

Date of Interview 5/1/03

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: a11

Identification of prior art discussed:

Art employed in the REJECTIONAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

① It WAS DETERMINED that the ACTION
 WAS INcomplete and the ACTION (PAPER #19)
 dated 11/25/02) IS WITHDRAWN

② Applicant IS REQUESTED NOT TO FILE A
 RESPONSE TO the above MENTIONED OFFICE ACTION
 UNTIL a NEW ACTION HAS been MAILED

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Withdraw of Previous Action

VINCENT MILLIN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is
 an Attachment to a signed Office action.

HEK
 Examiner's signature, if required